

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/662,993	MAYS, TODD A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E. Bochna	3679	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) David E. Bochna.

(3) _____.

 (2) Larry Henneman, Jr..

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.


Rejection(s) discussed: _____

Claims discussed: _____

Prior art documents discussed: _____

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
A phone call was made to determine the status of the case. Mr. Henneman stated that the case was intended to go abandoned
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)